



CHAPTER 4. GEOMETRIC DESIGN OF HIGHWAYS

4.1 Appropriate Geometric Standards

The needs of road users in developing countries are often very different from those in the industrialized countries. In developing countries, pedestrians, animal-drawn carts, etc., are often important components of the traffic mix, even on major roads. Lorries and buses often represent the largest proportion of the motorized traffic, while traffic composition in the industrialized countries is dominated by the passenger car. As a result, there may be less need for high-speed roads in developing countries and it will often be more appropriate to provide wide and strong shoulders. Traffic volumes on most rural roads in developing countries are also relatively low. Thus, providing a road with high geometric standards may not be economic, since transport cost savings may not offset construction costs. The requirements for wide carriageways, flat gradients and full overtaking sight distance may therefore be inappropriate. Also, in countries with weak economies, design levels of comfort used in industrialized countries may well be a luxury that cannot be afforded.

When developing appropriate geometric design standards for a particular road in a developing country, the first step should normally be to identify the objective of the road project. It is convenient to define the objective in terms of three distinct stages of development as follows:

Stage 1 - Provision of access

Stage 2 - Provision of additional capacity

Stage 3 - Increase of operational efficiency

Developing countries, by their very nature, will usually not be at stage 3 of this sequence; indeed most will be at the first stage. However, design standards currently in use are generally developed for countries at stage 3 and they have been developed for roads carrying relatively large volumes of traffic. For convenience, these same standards have traditionally been applied to low-volume roads that lead to uneconomic and technically inappropriate designs.

A study to develop appropriate geometric design standards for use in developing countries has been undertaken by the Overseas Unit of Transport Research Laboratory (TRL formerly TRRL). The study revealed that most standards currently in use are considerably higher than can be justified from an economic or safety point of view. Geometric design recommendations have been published in Overseas Road Note 6.

In the above-mentioned Overseas Road Note 6 rural access roads are classified into three groups.

Access roads are the lowest level in the network hierarchy. Vehicular flows will be very light and will be aggregated in the collector road network. Geometric standards may be low and need only be sufficient to provide appropriate access to the rural agricultural, commercial, and population centers served. Substantial proportions of the total movements are likely to be by non-motorized traffic.

Collector roads have the function of linking traffic to and from rural areas, either direct to adjacent urban centers, or to the arterial road network. Traffic flows and trip lengths will be of an intermediate level and the need for high geometric standards is therefore less important.

Arterial roads are the main routes connecting national and international centers. Trip lengths are likely to be relatively long and levels of traffic flow and speed relatively high. Geometric standards need to be adequate to enable efficient traffic operation under these conditions, in which vehicle-to-vehicle interactions may be high.

4.2 Design Controls and Criteria

The elements of design are influenced by a wide variety of design controls, engineering criteria, and project specific objectives. Such factors include the following:

- ✓ Functional classification of the roadway
- ✓ Projected traffic volume and composition
- ✓ Required design speed
- ✓ Topography of the surrounding land
- ✓ Capital costs for construction
- ✓ Human sensory capacities of roadway users
- ✓ Vehicle size and performance characteristics
- ✓ Traffic safety considerations
- ✓ Environmental considerations
- ✓ Right-of-way impacts and costs

These considerations are not, of course, completely independent of one another. The functional class of a proposed facility is largely determined by the volume and composition of the traffic to be served. It is also related to the type of service that a highway will accommodate and the speed that a vehicle will travel while being driven along a highway.

Of all the factors that are considered in the design of a highway, the principal design criteria are *traffic volume, design speed, sight distances, vehicle size, and vehicle mix*.

4.2.1 Design Speed and Design Class

The assumed design speed for a highway may be considered as “the maximum safe speed that can be maintained over a specified section of a highway when conditions are so favorable that the design features govern”. The choice of design speed will depend primarily on the surrounding terrain and the functional class of the highway. Other factors determining the selection of design speed include traffic volume, costs of right-of-way and construction, and aesthetic consideration.

It is therefore recommended that the basic parameters of road function, terrain type and traffic flow are defined initially. On the basis of these parameters, a design class is selected, while design speed is used only as an index which links design class to the design parameters of sight distance and curvature to ensure that a driver is presented with a reasonably consistent speed environment.

Table 3.1 shows the design classes and design speeds recommended in ERA Geometric Design Manual, 2013 in relation to road function, volume of traffic and terrain. The table also contains recommended standards for carriageway and shoulder width and maximum gradient.

The terrain classification as “level”, “rolling”, “mountainous” or “escarpment” may be defined as average transverse slope perpendicular to the ground contours measured as the number of five-meter contour lines crossed per kilometer on a straight line linking the two ends of the road section as follows:

- Level terrain: 0-10 five-meter contours per kilometer; the transverse slopes perpendicular to the ground contours are generally below 3%.
- Rolling terrain: 11-25 five-meter contours per kilometer; the transverse slopes perpendicular to the ground contours are generally between 3% - 25%.
- Mountainous terrain: 26-50 five-meter contours per kilometer; the transverse slopes perpendicular to the ground contours are generally above 25%.
- Escarpment terrain: greater than 50 five-meter contours per kilometer; the transverse slopes perpendicular to the ground contours are generally above 50%.

Table 3.1: Road Classification, AADT, Carriageway Widths and Design Speeds according to ERA Geometric Design Manual, 2013.

Road Functional Classification	Design Standard	Design Traffic Flow (AADT) (Mid-life)	Surface Type	Width (m)	Design Speed (km/hr.)					
				Carriageway	Flat	Rolling	Mountainous	Escarpment	Urban/Peri-Urban	
F E E D E R C O L L E C T O R S M A I N L I N K T R U N K	DC8	10,000 – 15,000	Paved	Dual 2 x 7.3	120	100	85	70	50	
	DC7	3,000 – 10,000	Paved	7.3	120	100	85	70	50	
	DC6	1,000 – 3,000	Paved	7.0	100	85	70	60	50	
	DC5	300 – 1,000	Paved	7.0	85	70	60	50	50	
	DC4 ⁽²⁾	150 – 300	Paved	6.5 – 7.0 ⁽¹⁾	70	60	50	45 ⁽³⁾	30	50
			Unpaved	7.0 – 7.5 ⁽¹⁾						
	DC3 ⁽²⁾	75 – 150	Paved	6.0	70	60	50	45 ⁽³⁾	30	50
			Unpaved	7.0						
	DC2 ⁽²⁾	25 – 75	Paved	3.3	60	50	40	35 ⁽³⁾	25	50
			Unpaved	6.0						
DC1	1 – 25	Unpaved	4.5	50	40	30	20	40		
Basic Access	<10	Unpaved	3.5							

4.2.2 Sight Distance

The driver's ability to see ahead contributes to safe and efficient operation of the road. Ideally, geometric design should ensure that at all times any object on the pavement surface is visible to the driver within normal eye-sight distance. However, this is not usually feasible because of topographical and other constraints, so it is necessary to design roads on the basis of lower, but safe, sight distances.

Sight distance is the length of the road which is visible to the driver. There are five types of sight distances considered in ERA Geometric Design manual, 2013, listed below, so that a safe maneuver is applied by drivers.

- ✚ Stopping sight distance;
- ✚ Meeting sight distance;
- ✚ Passing sight distance.
- ✚ Decision sight distance: stopping sight distances are inadequate in unusual maneuvering actions and unexpected conditions. In such circumstances, the decision sight distance provides the greater that the drivers need.
- ✚ Intersection sight distance: is the required sight distance to apply different maneuvering techniques when approaching an intersection with buildings and development structures at corners of intersections.

Stopping Sight Distance:

A stopping sight distance is the available safe stopping distance for vehicles to stop before causing any damage in emergency conditions. The sight of the driver is affected mainly by the height of driver's eye above the road surface and height of the object above the road surface. The higher the two heights the larger the available sight distance. ERA Geometric Design Manual, 2013, takes 1.05m height of driver's eye above the road surface and 0.32m height of object above road surface.

The Stopping sight distance comprises two elements:

1. d_1 (lag distance) = the distance travelled from the instant the object is sighted to the moment the brakes are applied (the perception and reaction time, also referred as the total reaction time) and
2. d_2 (the braking distance) = the distance traversed while braking.

The ***total reaction time*** depends on the physical and mental characteristics of the driver, atmospheric visibility, types and condition of the road and distance to, size colour and shape of the hazard. When drivers are keenly as in urban conditions with high traffic intensity, the reaction time may be in the range of 0.5 - 1.0 seconds while driver reaction time is generally around 2 - 4 seconds for normal driving in rural conditions. ERA Geometric Design Manual, 2013 generally takes a perception reaction time of 2.5 sec.

The distance travelled before the brakes are applied is:

$$d_1 = (1000/3600) * V * t = 0.278 * V * t, \text{ Where: } d_1 = \text{total reaction distance in m;}$$

$V = \text{initial vehicle speed in Km/h}$
 $t = \text{reaction time in sec.}$

The braking distance, d_2 , is dependent on vehicle condition and characteristics, the coefficient of friction between tyre and road surface, the gradient of the road and the initial vehicle speed.

$$d_2 = V^2 / (254(f + g/100)),$$

Where: $d_2 = \text{breaking distance in meters;}$

$V = \text{initial vehicle speed in km/h;}$

$f = \text{coefficient of longitudinal friction between tyres and road surface whose values given in table 3.2, according to ERA Geometric manual, 2013;}$

$g = \text{gradient (in \% ; positive if uphill and negative if downhill)}$

It is important to note that the values given in the following table are determined for dry weather condition, so larger sight distances should be considered for unfavourable conditions.

Table 3.2: Stopping and passing sight distances for paved roads

Design Speed (km/h)	Coefficient of Friction (f)	Stopping Sight Distance (m)			Minimum Passing Sight Distance (m) (from formulae)	Passing Sight Distance to allow manoeuvre to be aborted (m)
		g = 0	g = 5%	g = 10%		
20	.42	18	18	19	160	-
25	.41	23	24	25	190	50
30	.40	30	32	33	220	80
40	.37	45	47	50	285	135
50	.35	65	70	75	350	180
60	.33	85	90	105	415	230
70	.315	110	120	140	480	270
80	.305	140	155	180	545	310
85	.295	155	175	205	575	330
90	.29	170	195	230	610	345
100	.285	210	240	285	675	375
110	.28	245	285	340	740	405
120	.28	285	330	400	805	425

Meeting Sight Distance:

Meeting sight distance is the distance required to enable the drivers of two vehicles traveling in opposite directions to bring their vehicles to a safe stop after becoming visible to each other. Meeting sight distance is normally calculated as twice the minimum stopping sight distance.

Example: two vehicles approaching each other on a single lane road with a speed of 70km/hr and 45 km/hr. taking a longitudinal friction, f, of 0.68 and break efficiency of 60%, determine the minimum Meeting Sight Distance to avoid head-on collision of the two vehicles. (g=0)

Ans: 146.75 meters.

Passing/ Overtaking Sight Distance:

In a two-way two-lane roads in which slower and faster moving vehicles travel, the faster moving vehicles tend to pass the slower moving vehicles. The passing or overtaking sight distance is the distance that should be available to a driver for passing or overtaking another slowly moving vehicle safely without affecting the speed of an oncoming vehicle traveling in the opposite direction with the design speed.

Factors affecting passing (overtaking) sight distance are the judgment of overtaking drivers, the speed and size of overtaken vehicles, the acceleration capabilities of overtaking vehicles, and the

speed of oncoming vehicles. The following assumptions are made concerning driver behavior in passing maneuvers in AASHTO Green Book 2001.

1. The overtaken vehicle travels at uniform speed.
2. The passing vehicle has reduced speed and trails/ follows the overtaken vehicle as it enters a passing section.
3. When the passing section is reached, the passing driver needs a short period of time to perceive the clear passing section and to react to start his or her maneuver.
4. Passing is accomplished under what may be termed a delayed start and a hurried return in the face of opposing traffic. The passing vehicle accelerates during the maneuver, and its average speed during the occupancy of the left lane is 15 km/h [10 mph] higher than that of the overtaken vehicle.
5. When the passing vehicle returns to its lane, there is a suitable clearance length between it and an oncoming vehicle in the other lane.

The minimum passing sight distance for two-lane highways is determined as the sum of the following four distances as shown in figure 2.1:

- d_1 —Distance traversed during perception and reaction time and during the initial acceleration to the point of encroachment on the left lane.
- d_2 —Distance traveled while the passing vehicle occupies the left lane.
- d_3 —Distance between the passing vehicle at the end of its maneuver and the opposing vehicle.
- d_4 —Distance traversed by an opposing vehicle for two-thirds of the time the passing vehicle occupies the left lane, or $2/3$ of d_2 above.




Figure 3.1: Elements of Passing Sight Distance for Two-Lane Highways

- i. **Initial maneuver distance (d_1):** The distance d_1 traveled during the initial maneuver period is computed with the following equation;

$$d_1 = 0.278 t_i \left(v - m + \frac{at_i}{2} \right)$$

where:

- t_i = time of initial maneuver, s;
 a = average acceleration, km/h/s;
 v = average speed of passing vehicle, km/h;
 m = difference in speed of passed vehicle and passing vehicle, km/h

- ii. **Distance while passing vehicle occupies left lane (d_2):** is computed with the following equation;

$$d_2 = 0.278vt_2$$

where:

- t_2 = time passing vehicle occupies the left lane, s;
 v = average speed of passing vehicle, km/h

- iii. **Clearance length (d_3):** The clearance length between the opposing and passing vehicles at the end of the passing maneuvers was found in the passing study to vary from 30 to 75 m. This length, adjusted somewhat for practical consistency, is shown as the clearance length d_3 in table 3.3.

 Table 3.3: Clearance Distance (d_3) for Different Ambient Speeds

Speed Group (km/h)	50-65	66-80	81-100	101-120
d_3 (m)	30	55	80	100

- iv. **Distance traversed by an opposing vehicle (d_4):** distance traversed by the opposing vehicle, which is approximately equal to d_2 minus the portion of d_2 whereby the passing vehicle is entering the left lane, estimated as ;

$$d_4 = 2d_2/3.$$

The minimum passing sight distance (PSD) for design is:

$$PSD = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4$$

The resulting minimum sight distances for passing are as indicated in the sixth main column of table 3.2, of ERA Geometric Design Manual, 2013. However, the preferable or desirable PSD at 40km/hr is 15% greater than the values quoted in the table, rising to 40% greater at 120 km/hr.

4.2.3 Traffic Volume

Information on traffic volumes, traffic composition and traffic loading are important factors in the determination of the appropriate standard of a road. The traffic has a major impact on the selection of road class, and consequently on all geometric design elements. The traffic information is furthermore necessary for the pavement design.

For low volume roads the design control is the Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) in the “design year”. For routes with large seasonal variations the design control is the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) during the peak months of the “design year”. The design year is usually selected as year 10 after the year of opening to traffic.

4.2.4 Design Vehicle

The dimensions of the motor vehicles that will utilize the proposed facility also influence the design of a roadway project. The width of the vehicle naturally affects the width of the traffic lane; the vehicle length has a bearing on roadway capacity and affects the turning radius; the vehicle height affects the clearance of the various structures. Vehicle weight affects the structural design of the roadway.

The design engineer will select for design the largest vehicle that is expected to use the roadway facility in significant numbers on a daily basis.

4.3 Geometric Design Elements

The basic elements of geometric design are: the horizontal alignment, the vertical alignment and the cross-section. The following elements must be considered when carrying out the geometric design of a road:

I. Horizontal Alignment:

- Straights or Tangents
- Horizontal Curves (including Circular, Compound, Reverse and Broken-back Curves
- Superelevation
- Transition Curve Parameters
- Widening
- Set-back Distance

II. Vertical Alignment:

- Maximum gradient;
- Length of maximum gradient;
- Minimum passing sight distance or stopping sight distance on summit (crest) curves;
- Length of sag curves.

III. Cross-section:

- Width of carriageway;
- Cross-fall of carriageway;
- Rate of super elevation;
- Widening of bends;
- Width of shoulder;
- Cross-fall of shoulder;
- Width of structures;
- Width of right-of-way;
- Cut and fill slopes and ditch cross-section.

Horizontal and vertical alignment should not be designed independently. They complement each other and proper combination of horizontal and vertical alignment, which increases road utility and safety, encourages uniform speed, and improves appearance, can almost always be obtained without additional costs.

4.3.1 Horizontal Alignment

The horizontal alignment should always be designed to the highest standard consistent with the topography and be chosen carefully to provide *good drainage and minimize earthworks*. The alignment design should also be aimed at *achieving a uniform operating speed*. Therefore the standard of alignment selected for a particular section of road should extend throughout the section with no sudden changes from easy to sharp curvature. Where a sharp curvature is unavoidable, a sequence of curves of decreasing radius is recommended.

The horizontal alignment consists of a series of intersecting tangents and circular curves, with or without transition curves.

3.3.1.1. Straights (Tangents)

Long straights should be avoided, as they are monotonous for drivers and cause headlight dazzle on straight grades. A more pleasing appearance and higher road safety can be obtained by a *winding alignment* with tangents deflecting some 5 – 10 degrees alternately to the left and right. Short straights between curves in the same direction should not be used because of the *broken*

back effect. In such cases where a reasonable tangent length is not attainable, the use of long, transitions or compound curvature should be considered.

The following guidelines may be applied concerning the length of straights:

- ✓ Straights should not have lengths greater than $(20 * V)$ meters, where V is the design speed in km/h.
- ✓ Straights between circular curves turning in the same direction should have lengths greater than $(6*V)$ meters, where V is the design speed in km/h.
- ✓ Straights between the end and the beginning of untransitioned reverse circular curves should have lengths greater than two-thirds of the total superelevation run-off.

3.3.1.2. *Horizontal Curves*

Circular Curves

Horizontal curvature design is one of the most important features influencing the efficiency and safety of a highway. Improper design will result in lower speeds and lowering of highway capacity.




Figure 3.2: Parts of a Circular Curve

Note:

- ✓ PC - point of curvature
- ✓ PI - point of intersection
- ✓ PT - point of tangency
- ✓ Δ – central angle
- ✓ R – radius of curve



✓ D – degree of curve that defines,

- Central angle which subtends 20m arc (arc definition),

$$R = 1145.916 / D$$

- Central angle which subtends 20m chord (Chord definition)

$$R = 10 / \sin (D/2)$$

➤ Tangent (T): distance from PC to PI(backward tangent) or from PT to PI(forward tangent)

$$T = R * \tan (\Delta/2)$$

➤ External distance (E): distance from PI to middle of curve.

$$E = R * (\sec (\Delta/2) - 1) \quad \text{or} \quad E = T * \tan (\Delta/4)$$

➤ Middle ordinate (M): length from the middle of chord to the middle of curve.

$$M = R * (1 - \cos (\Delta/2))$$

➤ Long chord(C): straight-line distance from A to B.

$$C = 2R * \sin (\Delta/2)$$

➤ Length of Curve (Lc): distance from PC to PT along the curve.

$$Lc = 20 * \Delta/D \quad \text{or} \quad Lc = R * \pi * \Delta/180$$

➤ Sub-arc angles d_i : are angles subtended by an arc less than the degree of curve (D).

$$d_i = A_i * D/20, \text{ where: } d_i = \text{angle subtended by sub-arc of length } A_i$$

A_i = arc less than 20m.

➤ Sub chord angle (d_j): are angles subtended by a chord less than the degree of curve (D).

$$C_j = 2R * \sin(d_j/2),$$

Also, $C_j = 20 * \sin(d_j/2)/\sin(D/2)$, where: d_j = angle subtended by sub-chord of length c_j

C_j = chord less than 20m.

➤ Deflection angles: The angle that a chord deflects from a tangent to a circular curve is measured by half of the intercepted arc.

- Deflection angle for Lc (m) = $\Delta/2$
- Deflection angle for 20(m) = $D/2$
- Deflection angle for A_i (m) = $d_i/2$

➤ Stations of PC, PI, and PT:

$$PC = PI - T$$

$$PT = PC + Lc \text{ or } PT = PI + T$$

Compound Curves, Broken Back Curves, and Reverse Curve

Several variations of the circular curve deserve consideration when developing the horizontal alignment for a highway design. When two curves in the same direction are connected with a short tangent, this condition is referred to as a '**broken back**' arrangement of curves. This type of alignment should be avoided except where very unusual topographical or right-of-way conditions dictate otherwise. Highway engineers generally consider the broken back alignment to be unpleasant and awkward and prefer spiral transitions or a compound curve alignment with continuous superelevation for such conditions.





Figure 3.3: Reverse Curves, Broken-back Curves, and Compound Curves

Figure 3.4 identifies elements of a typical compound highway curve with variable definitions and basic equations developed for a larger and smaller radius curve, based on the assumption that the radius dimensions R_L and R_S and central angles Δ_L and Δ_S are given or have been previously determined.



VARIABLES

- R_L = Large curve radius
- R_S = Small curve radius
- Δ_L = Central angle of large radius curve
- Δ_S = Central angle of small radius curve
- Δ = Central angle or intersection angle
- LT = Long tangent
- ST = Short tangent

BASIC EQUATIONS FOR A COMPOUND CURVE (2-CENTERED)

$$\Delta = \Delta_L + \Delta_S$$

$$T_L = R_L \tan \frac{\Delta_L}{2}$$

$$T_S = R_S \tan \frac{\Delta_S}{2}$$


$$LT = T_L + p$$

$$ST = T_S + q$$

$$\frac{p}{\sin \Delta_S} = \frac{T_L + T_S}{\sin (180 - \Delta)} = \frac{q}{\sin \Delta_L}$$

Figure 3.4: Properties of a Compound Curve

Another important variation of the circular highway curve is the use of *reverse curves*, which are adjacent curves that curve in opposite directions. The alignment illustrated in figure 3.3, which shows a point of reverse curvature, PRC, and no tangent separating the curves, would be suitable only for low-speed roads such as those in mountainous terrain. A sufficient length of tangent between the curves should usually be provided to allow removal of the superelevation from the first curve and attainment of adverse superelevation for the second curve.


VARIABLES
 R_L = Large curve radius

 R_S = Small curve radius

PRC = Point of reverse curve

 Δ = Central angle of each curve

 p = Offset distance

 d = Tangent distance


REVERSE CURVE EQUATIONS

$$p = (R_L + R_S)(1 - \cos \Delta)$$

$$d = (R_L + R_S) \sin \Delta$$

$$\tan \frac{\Delta}{2} = \frac{p}{d}$$

Figure 3.5: Properties of a Reverse Curve

3.3.1.3. Superelevation


Any object moving rapidly along a curved path is subjected to an outward reactive force called the *centrifugal force*. If the surface is *flat*, the vehicle is held in the curved path by *side friction* between tires and pavement. The total of these friction forces balances the centrifugal force. Expressed in terms of the coefficient of friction f and the normal forces between the pavement and the tires, the relationship is;

$$(m * v^2) / R = (NL + NR) * f = m * g * f$$

$$\text{Or, } f = v^2 / (g * R)$$

Figure 3.6: Forces acting on a vehicle moving along a curved path.

When velocity v (m/s) is stated in V (Km/h), and the radius of curve (R) in meters, the equation reduces to;



$$f = V^2 / (127 * R)$$

On highway curves, this centrifugal force acts through the center of mass of the vehicle and creates an overturning moment about the points of contact between the outer wheels and the pavement. But a stabilizing (resisting) moment is created by the weight acting through the center of mass. Thus for equilibrium conditions,

$$(m * v^2 / R) * h = m * g * d/2, \text{ and}$$

$$h = d / (2v^2 / gR) = d / 2f$$

Where, h = height of the center of mass above pavement and d = lateral width between the wheels.

For the moment equation, if $f = 0.5$, then the height to the center of mass must be greater than the lateral distance between the wheels before overturning takes place. Modern passenger vehicles have low center of mass so that relatively high values of f have to be developed before overturning takes place. In practice, the frictional value is usually sufficiently low for sliding to take place before overturning. It is only with certain commercial vehicles having high center of mass that the problem of overturning may arise.

In order to resist the outward acting centrifugal force, and to enable vehicles to round curves at design speed without discomfort to their occupants, the pavements are “tilted” or “superelevated” so that the outer edges are higher than the inner edges. This tilting, plus frictional resistance between the tires and the pavement provides a horizontal resistance to the centrifugal forces generated by the circular movement of the vehicle around a curve.

Analysis of the forces acting on a vehicle as it moves around a curve of constant radius indicates that the theoretical superelevation can be expressed as:

$$e + f = V^2 / (127 * R) \dots\dots\dots (*)$$

Where: e = rate of superelevation (m per m)

f = side friction factor (or coefficient of lateral friction)

V = speed (Km/hr)

R = radius of curvature (m)

Equation (*) above is the basic equation relating the speed of vehicles, the radius of curve, the superelevation and the coefficient of lateral friction. This equation forms the basis of design of horizontal curves.

If the entire centrifugal force is counteracted by the superelevation, frictional force will not be called into play. Proper design does not normally take full advantage of the obtainable lateral

coefficients of friction, since the design should not be based on a condition of incipient sliding. In design, engineers use only a portion of the friction factor, accounting for the comfort and safety of the vast majority of drivers.

From equation (*), the minimum radius or maximum degree of curvature for a given design speed can be determined from the rate of superelevation and side friction factor.

$$R = V^2 / (127 * (e + f))$$

$$D = 1145.916 / R.$$

Maximum Superelevation Value (e_{max})

If equation (*) is to be used for design, it is desirable to know the maximum superelevation that can be permitted. Practice in this regard varies from country to country. According to ERA Geometric Design Manual, 2013:

- $e_{max} = 8\%$ for rural roads and
- $e_{max} = 4\%$ for urban roads.

Value of Coefficient of Lateral Friction (f)

The value of coefficient of lateral friction depends upon a number of factors, chief among them being the vehicle speed, type and condition of roadway surfaces, and type and condition of the tyres.

Table 3.4: Minimum Radii for Horizontal Curves for Paved Roads

Design speed (km/h)	20	25	30	40	50	60	70	80	85	100	120
Side Friction Factor (f)	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.10
Super-elevation = 4%	15	19	30	55	95	145	215	300	350	515	780
Super-elevation = 6%	15	18	27	50	85	135	195	270	310	455	685
Super-elevation = 8%	15	17	25	50	80	120	175	240	280	410	610
Super-elevation = 10%	15	16	25	45	75	110	160	220	255	375	555

The side friction is considerably less than the longitudinal friction coefficient. Its value decreases as speed increases. According to ERA Geometric Design Manual, 2013, the recommended side friction values for all design speeds are presented in Table 3.4, with the respective minimum radii for 4%, 6%, 8% & 10% superelevations.

Attainment of Superelevation

The transition from a tangent, normal crown section to a curved superelevated section must be accompanied without any appreciable reduction in speed and in such a manner as to ensure safety and comfort to the occupants of the traveling vehicle.

The normal cambered surface on a straight reach of road is changed into a superelevated surface into two stages. In the first stage, the outer half of the camber is gradually raised until it is level. In the second stage, three methods may be adopted to attain the full super-elevation.

- i. The surface of the road is rotated about the centerline of the carriageway, gradually lowering the inner edge and raising the upper edge, keeping the level of the centerline constant.
- ii. The surface of the road is rotated about the inner edge, raising the center and the outer edge.
- iii. The surface of the road is rotated about the outer edge depressing the center and the outer edge.

Method (i) is the most generally used.

The distance required for accomplishing the transition from a normal to a superelevated section, commonly referred to as the transition runoff, is a function of the *design speed and the rate of superelevation*.




Figure 3.7: Circular Curve Transition

Superelevation is usually started on the tangent at some distance before the curve starts, and the full superelevation is generally reached beyond the point of curvature (PC) of the curve. In curves with transitions, the superelevation can be attained within the limits of the spiral.




Figure 3.8: Spiral Curve Transition

Example:




Figure 3.8: Example of Superelevation diagram in a circular curve with a transition curve, showing roadway cross sections.

3.3.1.4. Transition Curves

Transition curves provide a gradual change from the tangent section to the circular curve and vice versa. For most curves, drivers can follow a transition path within the limits of a normal lane width, and a spiral transition in the alignment is not necessary. However, along high-speed roadways with sharp curvature, transition curves may be needed to prevent drivers from encroaching into adjoining lanes.

A curve known as the Euler spiral or clothoid is commonly used in highway design. The radius of the spiral varies from infinity at the tangent end to the radius of the circular arc at the end of the

spiral. The radius of the spiral at any point is inversely proportional to the distance from its beginning point.




Figure 3.9: Main Elements of A Circular Curve Provided with Spiral Transitions

Note:

θ_s = spiral angle

Δ = total central angle

Δ_c = central angle of the circular arc extending from BC to EC = $\Delta - 2\theta_s$

θ = deflection angle of points on spiral curve

R_c = radius of circular curve

L = length of spiral from starting point to any point on spiral

R = radius of curvature of the spiral at a point

L distant from starting point.

T' = tangent distance from SC to PI

T_s = tangent distance from TS to PI

E_s = external distance

P = shift of the circular portion of the curve inwards, so that it no longer fits to the original tangents, measured perpendicular from the original tangents

HIP = horizontal intersection point

BS = beginning of spiral

BC = beginning of circular curve

EC = end of circular curve

ES = end of spiral curve

Some of the important properties of the spirals are given below:

- $\theta_s = L_s / 2R_c$ (in radians) = $28.65L_s / R_c$ (in degrees)
- $P = Y_s - R_c * (1 - \cos(\theta_s)) = L_s^2 / 24R_c$
- $K = X_s - R_c * \sin(\theta_s) = L_s / 2$
- $T_s = L_s / 2 + (R_c + P) * \tan(\Delta / 2)$
- $E_s = (R_c + S) * \sec(\Delta / 2) - R_c$
- $L = 2R\theta$
- $\theta = (L / L_s)^2 * \theta_s$

Length of Transition

The length of transition should be determined from the following two conditions:

- a) The rate of change of centrifugal acceleration adopted in the design **should not cause discomfort to the drivers**. If C is the rate of change of acceleration,

$$L_s = 0.0215V^3 / (C * R_c)$$

Where: L_s = minimum length of spiral (m), V = speed (Km/hr), R_c = radius of the circular curve (m), and C = rate of increase of centripetal acceleration (m/s^3), 1 to 3 m/s^3

- b) The rate of change of superelevation (superelevation application ratio) should be such as **not to cause higher gradients and unsightly appearances**. Since superelevation can be given by rotating about the centerline, inner edge or outer edge, the length of the transition will be governed accordingly.

More commonly, superelevation transition lengths for highways are based on **appearance or comfort criteria**. One such criterion is a rule that the difference in **longitudinal slope (grade, Δg)** between the centerline and edge of traveled way of a two-lane highway **should not exceed 1/200**.




Figure 3.10: Difference in grade resulting from superelevation transition

Figure 3.9 illustrates the application of this rule. L is measured from the TS to the SC, as in the superelevation diagram. At the TS the difference in elevation between the centerline and edge is zero. At the SC it is the superelevation rate e times the distance D from the centerline to the edge. Thus the difference in grade between the centerline and the edge is

$$\Delta g = \frac{D * e}{L}$$

Since the criterion that the difference in grade not exceed 1/200 implies that

$$\frac{D * e}{L} \leq \frac{1}{200}$$

L is given by;

$$L \geq 200 * D * e$$

L is normally rounded up to some convenient length, such as a multiple of 20m.

3.3.1.5. Widening of Curves

Extra width of pavement may be necessary on curves. As a vehicle turns, the rear wheels follow the front wheels on a shorter radius, and this has the effect of increasing the width of the vehicle in relation to the lane width of the roadway. Studies of drivers traversing curves have shown that there is a tendency to drive a curved path longer than the actual curve, shifting the vehicle laterally to the right on right-turning curves and to the left on left-turning curves. Thus, on right-turning curves the vehicle shifts toward the inside edge of the pavement, creating a need for additional pavement width. The amount of widening needed varies with the width of the pavement on tangent, the design speed, and the curve radius or degree of curvature.




Figure 3.11: Off-tracking of vehicles in horizontal curves

The widening required can be calculated from

$$We = \frac{n * B^2}{2R} + \frac{V}{10 * \sqrt{R}}$$

Where: We = total widening

B = wheel base

R = radius of curve

V = design speed (Km/hr)

n = number of lanes

According to ERA geometric manual, 2013, widenings of the carriageway are provided for roads with sharp horizontal curves and for embankments based on the heights of the fill. Recommended values are provided in the table below.

Table 3.5: Widening on curves and high fills/embankments.

Radius of Curve (m)	Curve Widening: Single Lane (m)	Curve Widening: Two Lanes (m)	Fill Widening	
			Height of fill (m)	Amount (m)
>250	0.0	0.0	0.0-3.0	0.0
120- 250	0.0	0.6	3.0- 6.0	0.3
60-120	0.0	0.9	6.0 - 9.0	0.6
40-60	0.6	1.2	Over 9.0	0.9
20-40	0.6	1.5	Over 9.0	0.9
<20	See Section 8.10: Switchbacks			

3.3.1.6. Set-back Distance

Situations frequently exist where an object on the inside of a curve, such as vegetation, building or cut face, obstructs the line of sight. Where it is either not feasible or economically justified to move the object, a larger radius of curve will be required to ensure that stopping sight distance is available. The required radius of curve is dependent on the distance of the obstruction from the centerline and the sight distance.

Night driving around sharp curves introduces an added problem related to horizontal sight distance. Motor-vehicle headlights are pointed directly toward the front and do not provide as much illumination in oblique directions. Even if adequate horizontal sight distance is provided, it has little useful purpose at night because the headlights are directed along a tangent to the curve, and the roadway itself is not properly illuminated.

According to ERA Geometric Design Manual, 2013, the available sight distances on horizontal curves need to be checked separately for both stopping and passing sight distances along each direction of travel.




Figure 3.12: Stopping Sight distance on horizontal curves.

For Narrow Roads; i.e, single lane road in which centerline of the road and centerline of inner lane are similar.

Case 1: $S < Lc$

$$M = R(1 - \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2})), \text{ in which } \alpha / 2 \text{ (in degrees)} = 28.65 * S / R$$

Case 2: $S > Lc$

$$M = R \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \right) + \frac{S-L}{2} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \right), \text{ in which } \alpha / 2 \text{ (in degrees)} = 28.65 * Lc / R$$

For Wide Roads; i.e, roads with two lane and above, in which centerline of the road and centerline of inner lane are different and say the distance between the two centerlines is d.

Case 1: $S < Lc$

$$M = R - (R - d) * \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2}), \text{ in which } \alpha / 2 \text{ (in degrees)} = 28.65 * S / R$$

Case 2: $S > Lc$

$$M = R - (R - d) * \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2}) + \frac{S-L}{2} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \right), \text{ in which } \alpha / 2 \text{ (in degrees)} = 28.65 * Lc / R$$

Where; S – the required sight distance

Lc – the length of the curve

R – the radius of the curve

α – the angle subtended by the curve

d – the distance between the centerline of the road and the centerline of the inner lane.

Example; Calculate the required set-back distance considering the required stopping sight distance at the curve. Length of Curve (Lc) = 300m, Radius of horizontal curve = 230m, Design Speed = 80 Km/hr, Coefficient of longitudinal friction, f = 0.35, Perception-reaction time, t = 2.5 sec, Total width of road = 7.71m. (Ans = 10.66m)

4.3.2 Vertical Alignment

The vertical alignment of the roadway and its effect on the safe, economical operation of the motor vehicle constitute one of the most important features of a highway design. The vertical alignment, which consists a series of straight profile lines connected by vertical parabolic curves, is known as **the profile grade line**. When the profile grade line is increasing from a level or flat alignment, this condition is referred to as a ‘plus grade’, and when the grade is decreasing from a level alignment, the grade is termed a ‘minus grade’. In analyzing grade and grade controls, the designer usually studies the effect of change on the centerline profile of the roadway.

In the establishment of a grade, an ideal situation is one in which the cut is balanced against the fill without a great deal of borrow or an excess of cut material to be wasted. All earthwork hauls should be moved in a downhill direction if possible and within a relatively short distance from the

origin, due to the expense of moving large quantities of soil. Ideal grades have long distances between points of intersection, with long curves between grade tangents to provide smooth riding qualities and good visibility. The grade should follow the general terrain and rise or fall in the direction of the existing drainage. In rock cuts and in flat, low-lying or swampy areas, it is necessary to maintain higher grades with respect to the existing ground line. Future possible construction and the presence of grade separations or bridge structures can also act as control criteria for the design of a vertical alignment.




Figure 3.13: Existing topography in red versus designed vertical alignment in blue

3.3.2.1. Grades and Grade Control

Changes of grade from plus to minus should be placed in cuts, and changes from a minus grade to a plus grade should be placed in fills. This will generally give a good design, and many times it will avoid the appearance of building hills and producing depressions contrary to the general existing contours of the land. Other considerations for determining the grade line may be of more importance than the balancing of cuts and fills.

In the analysis of grades and grade control, one of the most important considerations is the effect of grades on the operating costs of the motor vehicle. An increase in gasoline consumption, a reduction in speed, and an increase in emissions and noise are apparent when grades are increased. An economical approach would be *to balance the added cost of grade reduction against the annual costs and impacts of vehicle operation without grade reduction*. An accurate solution to the problem depends on the knowledge of traffic volume and type, which can be obtained by means of a traffic survey.

Table 3.6: Maximum gradients for paved sections according to ERA geometric design manual

Topography	Maximum Gradient (%), for Paved Sections									
	DC8, DC7, DC6		DC5, DC4		DC3, DC2		DC1		Basic Access	
	D	A	D	A	D	A	D	A	D	A
Flat	3	5	4	6	6	8	6	10		
Rolling	4, 5	7	6	8	7	9	7	10		
Mountainous	6, 7	9	8	10	10	12	10	12	NA	NA
Escarpment	6, 7	9	8	10	10	12	10	12		
Urban	6	8	7	9	7	9	7	9		

D- Desirable value A- Absolute value

Standards for desirable maximum gradients were set to assure user comfort and to avoid severe reductions in the design speed. If the occasional terrain anomaly is encountered that requires excessive earthworks to reduce the vertical alignment to the desirable standard, an absolute maximum gradient can be used.

Minimum grades are governed by drainage conditions. Level grades may be used in fill sections in rural areas when crowned pavements and sloping shoulders can take care of the pavement surface drainage. However, it is preferred that the profile grade be designed to have a *minimum grade of at least 0.3 %* under most conditions in order to secure adequate drainage. According to ERA, the *minimum gradient for usual case is 0.5%*.

3.3.2.2. Vertical Curves

The parabolic curve is used almost exclusively in connecting profile grade tangents. The primary reason for the use of this type of curve in vertical highway alignments is the convenient manner in which the vertical offsets can be computed and the smooth transitions created from tangent to curve and then back to tangent. When a vertical curve connects a positive grade with a negative grade, it is referred to as a 'crest curve'. Likewise, when a vertical curve connects a negative grade with a positive grade, it is termed a 'sag curve'. Various configurations of crest and sag curves are illustrated in figure 3.13. Various definitions and basic equations for a typical vertical curve are presented in figure 3.14. The sign conventions for g_1 and g_2 allow the use of the same formulas in the calculation of offsets and elevations for a sag curve also.

All distances along vertical curves are measured horizontally, and all offsets from the tangents to the curve are measured vertically. Accordingly, the length of a vertical curve is its horizontal projection. The error resulting from this assumption is negligible in practice since the curve is quite flat. Unless otherwise defined, vertical curves are symmetrical in the sense that the tangents are equal in length.





Figure 3.14: Types of crest and sag vertical curves



VARIABLES

VPI = Vertical point of intersection

VPC = Vertical point of curvature

VPT = Vertical point of tangency

G_1 = Grade of initial tangent

G_2 = Grade of final tangent

L = Length of vertical curve

A = Algebraic difference in grade between g_1 and g_2

K = Vertical curve length coefficient as determined for stopping sight distance

x = Horizontal distance to point on curve, measured from VPC

E_x = Elevation of point on curve located at distance x from VPC

x_m = Location of min/max point on curve, measured from VPC

E_m = Elevation of min/max point on curve at distance x_m from VPC

e = External distance = middle ordinate

y = Offset of curve from initial grade line

E_{PI} = Elevation of VPI

E_{PC} = Elevation of VPC

E_{PT} = Elevation of VPT

g_1 = Grade of initial tangent in percent

g_2 = Grade of final tangent in percent

VERTICAL CURVE EQUATIONS

$$A = g_2 - g_1$$

$$K = \frac{L}{A}$$

$$e = \frac{(G_1 - G_2)L}{8} = \frac{AL}{800} = \frac{A^2 K}{800}$$

For high (low) point on curve;

$$x_m = \frac{g_1 L}{g_2 - g_1} = \frac{g_1 L}{A}$$

For any point p on curve,

$$y = \frac{(G_2 - G_1)x^2}{2L} = \frac{Ax^2}{200L} = \frac{x^2}{200K}$$

$$E_x = E_{PC} + G_1 x + \frac{(G_2 - G_1)x^2}{2L}$$

similarly,

$$E_x = E_{PC} + \frac{g_1 x}{100} + \frac{x^2}{200K}$$


Figure 3.15; Properties of a typical vertical curve

3.3.2.3. Length Of Vertical Curves

I. Crest Vertical Curves


For crest curves, the most important consideration in determining the length of the curve is the sight distance requirement.

Case1: $S < L$



$$L = \frac{GS^2}{(\sqrt{2h_1} + \sqrt{2h_2})^2}$$

Case 2: $S > L$



$$L = 2 * S - \frac{2(\sqrt{h_1} + \sqrt{h_2})^2}{G}$$

Where;

L-length of vertical curve, in meter

G-the algebraic difference between g_1 & g_2 , in decimal

S- Sight distance, in meter

h_1 - height of eye of the driver above the road surface

h_2 - height of the object above the road surface

AASHTO recommended values of eye height (h_1) and object height (h_2).

- For stopping sight distance over crest: $h_1 = 1.07\text{m}$ and $h_2 = 0.15\text{m}$
- For passing sight distance over crest: $h_1 = 1.07\text{m}$ and $h_2 = 1.30\text{m}$

ERA recommendations are:

- $h_1 = 1.05\text{m}$ & $h_2 = 0.6\text{m}$ especially for night time conditions
- $h_1 = 1.05\text{m}$ & $h_2 = 0.6\text{m}$ in hilly and mountainous terrain

II. Sag Vertical Curves

For sag curves, the criteria for determining the length are vehicle headlight distance, rider comfort, drainage control and general appearance.


B.1 Headlight Sight Distance:

Case 1: $S < L$

Highway Engineering I


Lecture Note: Chapter 4- Geometric Design of Highways

Page 28



$$L = \frac{S^2 G}{1.22 + 0.035 * S}$$

Case 2: $S > L$



$$L = 2S - \left(\frac{1.22 + 0.035 * S}{G} \right)$$

B.2 Comfort

There is still a considerable difference of opinion as to what value of radial acceleration should be used on vertical curves for comfort purposes. The most commonly quoted values are between 0.30 and 0.46m/s², but lesser values are preferred. If the vertical radial acceleration is assumed to be equal to a_r (in m/s²), then

$$L = \frac{V^2 G}{13a_r}$$

Where;

L- Length of vertical curve, in meter

V – Speed, in Km/hr

G- Algebraic difference between g_1 & g_2 , in decimals

a_r - vertical radial acceleration, in m/s²

S- Sight distance, in meter

For a value of 0.3m/s² of vertical radial acceleration, the length of vertical curve is calculated as;

$$L = \frac{V^2 * G}{3.9}$$


B.3 Aesthetics/ General Appearance

For high standard roads, higher length of vertical curves might be necessary to provide to improve the aesthetics of the road.

$$L_{\min} = 30A$$


3.2.3.4. Sight Distances at Underpass Structures

Case 1: $S < L$



$$L = \frac{S^2 G}{8m}$$

Case 2: $S > L$



$$L = 2S - \frac{8m}{G}$$

Where:

L- Length of vertical curve, in meter

G- Algebraic difference between g_1 & g_2 , in decimals

S- Sight distance, in meter

$m = C - (h_1 + h_2)/2$

C = Vertical clearance distance

- **AASHTO recommendations:** $h_1 = 1.829\text{m}$, $h_2 = 0.457\text{m}$ and $C = 5.182\text{m}$

4.3.3 Phasing of Horizontal and Vertical Alignment

Phasing of the vertical and horizontal curves of a road implies their coordination so that the line of the road appears to a driver to flow smoothly, avoiding the creation of hazards and visual defects. It is particularly important in the design of high-speed roads on which a driver must be able to anticipate changes in both horizontal and vertical alignment well within the safe stopping distance. It becomes more important with small radius curves than with large.

Defects may arise if an alignment is mis-phased. Defects may be purely visual and do no more than present the driver with an aesthetically displeasing impression of the road. Such defects often occur on sag curves. When these defects are severe, they may create a psychological obstacle and cause some drivers to reduce speed unnecessarily. In other cases, the defects may endanger the safety of the user by concealing hazards on the road ahead. A sharp bend hidden by a crest curve is an example of this kind of defect. Refer chapter 10 of ERA Geometric Design Manual, 2013.

4.3.4 Cross-Section

The cross-sectional elements in a highway design pertain to those features that deal with its width. They embrace aspects such as right-of-way, roadway width, central reservations (medians), shoulders, camber, side-slope etc.

Right- Of –Way

The right-of-way width is the width of land secured and preserved to the public for road purposes. The right-of-way should be adequate to accommodate all the elements that make up the cross-section of the highway and may reasonably provide for future development.

Road Width


Road width should be minimized so as to reduce the costs of construction and maintenance, whilst being sufficient to carry the traffic loading efficiently and safely.

The following factors need to be taken into account when selecting the width of a road:

1. **Classification of the road.** A road is normally classified according to its function in the road network. The higher the class of road, the higher the level of service expected and the wider the road will need to be.
2. **Traffic.** Heavy traffic volumes on a road mean that passing of oncoming vehicles and overtaking of slower vehicles are more frequent and therefore that paths of vehicles will be further from the center-line of the road and the traffic lanes should be wider.
3. **Vehicle dimensions.** Normal steering deviations and tracking errors, particularly of heavy vehicles, reduce clearances between passing vehicles. Higher truck percentages require wider traffic lanes.
4. **Vehicle speed.** As speeds increase, drivers have less control of the lateral position of vehicles, reducing clearances, and so wider traffic lanes are needed.

Figure 3.16 shows the typical cross-sections recommended by Overseas Road Note 6, for the various road design classes A – F.

The cross-section of the road is usually maintained across culverts, but special cross-sections may need to be designed for bridges, taking into account traffic such as pedestrians, cyclists, etc., as well as motor traffic. Reduction in the carriageway width may be accepted, for instance, when an existing narrow bridge has to be retained because it is not economically feasible to replace or widen it. It may also sometimes be economic to construct a superstructure of reduced width initially with provision for it to be widened later when traffic warrants it. In such cases a proper application of traffic signs, rumble strips or speed bumps is required to warn motorists of the discontinuity in the road.



- 1) Dimensions are in mm.
- 2) Widths should be considered as minimum values and widening is required on curves with tighter radii.
- 3) Single-lane roads (classes E and F) require meeting sight distance.
- 4) For a high percentage of heavy vehicles (>40%) it is advisable to increase the running surface width for classes C, D, E and F by 0.50 m.

Figure 3.16: Typical cross-sections (TRRL Overseas Road Note 6)

For single-lane roads without shoulders passing places must be provided to allow passing and overtaking. The total road width at passing places should be a minimum of 5.0m but preferably 5.5m, which allows two trucks to pass safely at low speed. The length of individual passing places will vary with local conditions and the sizes of vehicles in common use but, generally, a length of

20m including tapers will cater for trucks with a wheelbase of 6.5m and an overall length of 11.0m.

Normally, passing places should be located every 300-500m depending on the terrain and geometric conditions. They should be located within sight distance of each other and be constructed at the most economic locations as determined by terrain and ground conditions, such as at transitions from cut to fill, rather than at precise intervals.

Shoulders

Shoulders provide for the accommodation of stopped vehicles. Properly designed shoulders also provide an emergency outlet for motorists finding themselves on a collision course and they also serve to provide lateral support to the carriageway. Further, shoulders improve sight distances and induce a sense of “openness” that improves capacity and encourages uniformity of speed.

In developing countries shoulders are used extensively by non-motorized traffic (pedestrians, bicycles and animals) and a significant proportion of the goods may be transported by such non-motorized means.

Cross-Fall

Two-lane roads should be provided with a camber consisting of a straight-line cross-fall from the center-line to the carriageway edges, while straight cross-fall from edge to edge of the carriageway is used for single-lane roads and for each carriageway of divided roads.

The cross-fall should be sufficient to provide adequate surface drainage whilst not being so great as to be hazardous by making steering difficult. The ability of a surface to shed water varies with its smoothness and integrity. On unpaved roads, the minimum acceptable value of cross-fall should be related to the need to carry surface water away from the pavement structure effectively, with a maximum value above which erosion of a material starts to become a problem.

According to Overseas Road Note 6 the normal cross-fall should be 3% on paved roads and 4-6% on unpaved roads.

Due to the action of traffic and weather the cross-fall of unpaved roads will gradually be reduced and rutting may develop. To avoid the rutting developing into potholes a cross-fall of 5-6% should be re-established during the routine and periodic maintenance works.

Shoulders having the same surface as the carriageway should have the same cross-slope. Unpaved shoulders on a paved road should be about 2% steeper than the cross-fall of the carriageway.

Side Slopes

The slopes of fills (embankments) and cuts must be adapted to the soil properties, topography and importance of the road. Earth fills of common soil types and usual height may stand safely on

slopes of 1 on 1.5 and slopes of cuts through undisturbed earth with cementing properties remain in place with slopes of about 1 on 1. Rock cuts are usually stable at slopes of 4 on 1 or even steeper depending on the homogeneity of the rock formation and direction of possible dips and strikes.

Using these relatively steep slopes will result in minimization of earthworks, but steep slopes are, on the other hand, more liable to erosion than flatter slopes as plant and grass growth is hampered and surface water velocity will be higher. Thus the savings in original excavation and embankment costs may be more than offset by increased maintenance through the years.




Figure 3.17: Cross section elements



Figure 3.18: Excavated roadway section elements